The State of Australian Dental Websites: The Four Things Most Get Wrong

An advisory field guide to the four compliance and digital-performance lenses every Australian dental practice website should pass — and the common failure patterns we see.

> **Not legal advice.** This is an educational advisory report. AHPRA findings described here are *review triggers*, not declared breaches. Regulatory and compliance questions should be referred to a qualified legal adviser.
>
> **Data note.** This report teaches the four lenses and the patterns we commonly see in practice website scans. Where specific illustrative figures appear, they are clearly attributed to their source sample. We make no claim to a verified national dataset; the report's power is the *private full report* we produce for individual practices — your real numbers, your specific findings.

TL;DR — What this report is about

Most Australian dental practice websites have the same four problems. They are not subtle. They are not being fixed. And the gap between the bottom half and the top quartile is large enough that it shows up in bookings, in search rankings, and occasionally in regulatory inboxes.

The four lenses:

1. **AHPRA and privacy compliance** — what a regulator sees when they open your homepage
2. **Discoverability (SEO / AEO / GEO)** — whether Google *and AI search* can find and cite you
3. **Patient trust and UX** — whether a new patient trusts you enough to call
4. **Booking and conversion** — whether an after-hours patient can actually book

This report explains each lens, the common failure patterns, and what the top-performing practices do differently. At the end: how to get your practice's private version — real findings, your actual site.

Lens 1: AHPRA and Privacy Compliance

What a regulator sees when they open your homepage.

AHPRA's advertising rules are not new. The Dental Board of Australia has published guidance for over a decade. The rules are specific: no testimonials about clinical care, no comparative or superlative claims ("Sydney's best"), no outcome guarantees ("guaranteed results"), no before/after imagery used as marketing, no inducements that could influence clinical decisions.

Most dental websites are not applying them.

The most common red-flag patterns we see:

- **Reproduced Google reviews on the homepage.** Patient testimonials by republication are testimonials. The fact that they originally appeared on an external platform does not change their status when the practice embeds them on its controlled channel.
- **Superlative language.** "Leading", "best", "top-rated", "Sydney's #1" — these are comparative claims. They appear frequently and are straightforward to remove.
- **Outcome language.** "Get the smile you deserve", "transform your smile", "guaranteed results" — these set clinical outcome expectations that AHPRA's guidelines treat as red-zone advertising.
- **Missing privacy policy.** A compliant privacy policy is not optional; it is a baseline requirement for any website collecting contact details, booking information, or analytics data. A significant number of practice sites have no policy at all, or a generic template that has not been updated for health-sector requirements.
- **Before/after photography without context.** Before/after imagery is not automatically prohibited — but presenting it without appropriate clinical context and consent disclosure does create risk.

What the regulator sees: AHPRA's advertising review process is complaint-driven, but the regulator also conducts its own monitoring. A regulator with the same web browser as your patients can identify these patterns in minutes. The fix is almost always editorial, not structural — it does not require rebuilding the site.

> *These are review triggers, not declared breaches. This report is not legal advice. Refer specific compliance questions to a qualified legal adviser familiar with AHPRA's advertising guidelines.*

Lens 2: Discoverability — SEO, AEO, and GEO

Whether Google and AI search can find and cite you.

Search is changing faster than most practice websites are keeping up. There are now three distinct discoverability games running simultaneously, and most practices are only playing one of them.

Traditional SEO is reasonably well understood. Meta tags, page titles, mobile-friendly design — the basics have been drilled into the market for twenty years and most sites are passable on this axis.

AEO — Answer Engine Optimisation is the gap. When a patient asks Google AI Overviews, ChatGPT, or Perplexity "find me a dentist near [suburb]" or "what does an implant consultation involve", these systems pull from structured data and well-organised FAQ content. The practices that will appear in AI-generated answers are the ones that:

- Have `FAQPage` schema markup (structured data that tells AI tools which questions your page answers) on their service pages

- Write service page headings as patient questions ("What happens at a first appointment?")
- Use structured `LocalBusiness` / `Dentist` JSON-LD schema (a machine-readable identity card for your practice) on every page

In illustrative scans of Sydney dental websites, fewer than half of sites had `LocalBusiness` schema (machine-readable practice identity) on the homepage, and fewer than a third had `FAQPage` schema on service pages. These numbers reflect a Sydney sample only — see the data note at the top of this report — and we make no claim about the figure nationally. The only way to know where your own site sits is to scan it, which is exactly what the private full report does.

GEO — Geographic and local SEO is where practices think they are winning, and they are often half-right. Google Business Profile is set up. The NAP — name, address, phone — is consistent on the homepage. But the deeper signals — suburb-specific landing pages, review velocity, schema on every service page, suburb signals in page titles — show real variance. A practice with a well-optimised website in Newtown and a competitor with suburb landing pages in every surrounding suburb is losing ground it can recover.

The AI-era shift: The practices that move now on AEO are building a moat. As AI-generated search results take share from blue-link Google, the structured data gap becomes the equivalent of not being in the Yellow Pages.

Lens 3: Patient Trust and UX

Whether a new patient trusts you enough to call.

A patient who has never visited your practice will spend a few seconds on your homepage before deciding whether to call. In those seconds, they are looking for social proof, professional identity, and basic contact clarity.

The trust signals that matter most:

- **Named dentists with photos.** A practice page that shows "our team" without photos, or that lists only first names, performs worse on the trust dimension than a page with a real headshot, a brief bio, and a clear credentials statement.
- **AHPRA registration numbers.** Listing the registration number is both a trust signal and a compliance signal. It takes one line of text.
- **Real clinic photography vs stock imagery.** Patients recognise stock dental photography. Real photos of the reception, the chair, the team — even imperfect ones — outperform polished stock imagery for the trust-building function.
- **Visible hours and contact.** Hours buried in a footer, phone numbers in image files (not text), and contact pages that require navigation to find — these are friction points that erode trust before the first call.
- **Review visibility.** Reviews are important. The compliance question is *how* they are displayed (see Lens 1 — testimonials vs review-count indicators). The highest-performing sites show

review star ratings and review counts from third-party platforms rather than quoting individual testimonials.
- **Professional memberships.** ADA membership, specialist accreditation, postgraduate training — displayed clearly, these reduce risk perception for new patients.

What we commonly see: The majority of practice sites pass a basic trust test — hours are visible, phone is present, reviews appear somewhere. The gap is in the differentiation signals: named dentists with credentials, real photography, and the small trust markers that convert a browsing patient into a caller.

Lens 4: Booking and Conversion

Whether an after-hours patient can actually book.

The highest-intent dental patient is the after-hours, mobile-first searcher. It is Sunday evening. Something is wrong with a tooth. They are on a phone. They search. They land on a practice website. What happens next?

The conversion funnel at 11 PM:

Most practice websites can take a booking if the patient arrives during business hours. The after-hours path is where the funnel breaks. A phone number that rings to a closed reception is not a booking path. A contact form with a 48-hour response commitment is not an emergency channel.

The common friction points:

- **No after-hours or emergency information.** The most common single friction point in practice website scans. An after-hours message, an emergency number, or a clear "for after-hours emergencies, please [action]" note takes one paragraph.
- **No online booking on the homepage.** Online booking is a standard expectation in 2026. A practice that requires a phone call as the only booking path is filtering out a portion of high-intent patients who will not call.
- **Multiple competing CTAs.** "Book now", "request an appointment", "contact us", "see our specials" — competing calls to action reduce conversion. The highest-converting pages have one primary action above the fold.
- **Forms with too many fields.** A new patient enquiry form that asks for medical history, insurance details, and reason for visit before the first contact is losing patients before the first appointment.
- **Phone number not clickable on mobile.** A phone number in an image, or a phone number not wrapped in a `tel:` link, cannot be called with a single tap on a phone. This is a common and easily fixed gap.

What the top practices do: Phone above the fold, clickable. Online booking on the homepage or within one click. A clear after-hours path — even if it is just an emergency phone number and an

out-of-hours message. One primary CTA. Forms trimmed to name, phone, preferred appointment time.

What the Top Quartile Is Doing

The highest-performing practice websites are not doing anything exotic. They are doing seven unremarkable things at once:

1. **Practice identity markup (`LocalBusiness` and `Dentist` JSON-LD) on every page** — a machine-readable card telling Google Maps and AI tools who you are and where you are; covers local and AI-search readiness simultaneously, takes a developer an hour to implement correctly.
2. **FAQ markup (`FAQPage` schema) on service pages** — the mechanism by which AI answer engines cite you instead of your competitor; tells ChatGPT and Perplexity which questions your page answers.
3. **Named dentists with AHPRA registration numbers and real photos** — one line of text and one photograph per dentist.
4. **Online booking on the homepage** — not buried in a contact page.
5. **Click-to-call phone above the fold** — on mobile, the phone number is the CTA.
6. **Patient stories framed as outcomes, not testimonials** — compliance-correct trust building. "I was nervous. The team was kind." is a patient story. "Best dentist in [suburb]" is a testimonial. The distinction matters.
7. **Suburb and service signals on every page** — local SEO at scale. A service page titled "Dental Implants" ranks in Google. A service page titled "Dental Implants in [Suburb]" ranks in Google for [Suburb].

On compliance, they are conservative and specific rather than superlative. On discoverability, they treat AEO as the new GEO. On trust, they use real identity signals. On conversion, they collapse the funnel.

The Gap That Matters

The gap between a practice in the bottom half and a practice in the top quartile is not a gap in dental quality. It is a gap in digital execution — and it is visible in bookings.

A patient searching at 11 PM, on a phone, in pain, is not comparing your amalgam technique. They are comparing your website. The practice that answers the after-hours question, shows a real team, and offers a booking path converts. The practice that does not, doesn't.

Most of the gap is fixable in weeks, not months. The compliance issues are editorial. The schema is technical but not complex. The booking path is a configuration question. The photography is an afternoon's work.

The reason it persists is that most practices do not know where they sit. They have not run the four-lens scan. They do not know which of the seven things they are missing, or how they compare to the practice three streets away.

Get Your Practice's Private Report

This advisory report teaches the framework. Your practice's private report applies it — your actual website, your real findings across all four lenses, your specific comparison.

The private report identifies:

- Each AHPRA and privacy review trigger on your site, with the specific page and the specific finding
- Your discoverability scores across SEO, AEO, and GEO, with the exact schema gaps
- Your trust and UX gaps, page by page
- Your conversion friction points, with the 11 PM patient path traced
- The top three changes, ranked by impact-to-effort ratio

It uses public information only — no patient data is involved. Your report is private and not shared without your permission.

Request your private full report at /blueprints/request.

It is free. No patient data required.

This report is educational and advisory. It is not legal advice. AHPRA compliance questions should be referred to a qualified legal adviser familiar with the Dental Board of Australia's advertising guidelines and the Health Practitioner Regulation National Law. Privacy questions should be referred to a qualified privacy adviser with health-sector experience.